



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 4967 of 2025

Krishanpal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Anil Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Pramod Shukla, Sunil Kumar Singh

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel, and perused the record.
2. The Settlement Officer of Consolidation as well as the Deputy Director of Consolidation (in brevity 'DDC') have returned the categorical finding, vide order dated 01.01.2005, that the Consolidation Officer (in brevity 'CO') has increased the exchange value of Plot No.2038 from 10 paise to 50 paise. Subsequently, the petitioner, who is a chak holder no.2115, has filed a time barred objection on 30.05.2016 under Section 21(1) of the U.P. Consolidation of Holdings Act, 1953 (in brevity 'UPCH Act') for adjusting the increased exchange value in his chak. The CO has allowed the aforesaid objection, vide order dated 02.02.2017, whereby the increased exchange value has been adjusted from the bachat land (reserve land); however, Plot No.2038/5 was never the original holding of the petitioner. The DDC has observed that chak of the revisionist (the petitioner herein) would not be affected owing to increase of the exchange value of the plot no.2038/5.
3. After due deliberation at length, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for and is granted three weeks time to enable him to prepare the case.
4. List this matter again as **fresh on 23.02.2026**.

January 22, 2026
vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)