



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 47593 of 2025**

Dinesh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

|                               |   |                     |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Counsel for Applicant(s)      | : | Satya Narayan Yadav |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A.                |

---

**Court No. - 75**

**HON'BLE SAURABH SRIVASTAVA, J.**

1. Supplementary affidavit preferred today by learned counsel for applicant is taken on record.
2. It is apparent from record that the chargesheet was submitted by concerned Investigating Officer by way of implicating four persons but at the time to taking cognizance of offence vide order dated 15.10.2011, the same has been taken up but in place of all accused, only name of one person i.e. Narendra who was produced before learned court concerned from jail, was mentioned but there was no other three names who have been summoned in pursuance of order of taking cognizance of offence by learned court concerned.
3. The entire ordersheet is also not reflecting any other names except Narendra but the specific submission made by learned counsel for applicant that under the garb of order dated 15.10.2011, it is the applicant who is being harassed by concerned police personnel and compelling him to appear before learned court concerned.
4. Learned AGA is hereby requested to seek complete instructions specifically over the issue that under which circumstances, the applicant is being compelled to appear before learned court concerned when his name is not mentioned in the cognizance order dated 15.10.2011.
5. Put up this case on **13.02.2026 as fresh.**

**January 29, 2026**  
Vivek Kr.

**(Saurabh Srivastava,J.)**