



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 9923 of 2025**

Smt Phoolmati Alias Munni

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Rishi Yadav, Smita Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Mithilesh Kumar Shukla

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Suresh Singh, holding brief of Sri Rishi Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and Sri Sudhir Kumar Shukla, holding brief of Sri Mithilesh Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the first informant and perused the record.

2. Present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 114 of 2025, under Sections 191(3), 191(2), 109, 110, 352, 190, 117(2) B.N.S., Police Station Sen Paschimpara, District Kanpur Nagar with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the first informant prays for some time on personal ground.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and she has an apprehension that she may be arrested in the above-mentioned case. He further submits that no offence is made out against the applicant. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, she shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State**

of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, the Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. Considering the above submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and without expressing any opinion on merits, the applicant- **Smt Phoolmati Alias Munni** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on **interim anticipatory bail** on her furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 10.12.2025** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that she would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would cooperate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of interim interim anticipatory bail bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant herein.

8. List this case as fresh on 10.12.2025 at 3:30 pm.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

December 2, 2025

Abhishek