



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 41037 of 2025

Vikrant Alias Vikky

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sanjiv Kumar Dwivedi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Sunil Kumar Tiwari

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE ASHUTOSH SRIVASTAVA, J.

Learned counsel for the applicant Sri S. K. Dwivedi submits that the statement of PW-1 (informant) has since been recorded and he has not supported the FIR version. He further submits that the trial of the case is not proceeding and is being deliberately lingered inasmuch the charges against the applicant were framed as far back as on 20.0-8.2025 and thereafter merely dates were being fixed. He further submits that the co-accused have also been enlarged on bail.

Sri Rakesh Yadav, learned counsel for the informant, who has now appeared in place of Sri Sunil Kumar Tiwari, submits that the statement of PW-1 was concluded on 16.01.2026 and the next witness to be examined is the injured. Since the injured has nominated the applicant to a definite role, he submits that the bail plea may be pressed only after the statement of injured has been concluded.

This Court is of the opinion that the bail plea is being pressed on the ground of delay in the trial. No dates have yet been fixed to proceed for recording of statement of injured.

Let the case appear on 18.02.2026, as fresh.

Meanwhile, it is expected that the Trial Court shall proceed with recording of the statement of the injured.

February 3, 2026

pks

(Ashutosh Srivastava,J.)