



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 45733 of 2025

Mayank Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sushil Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 81

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Sushil Kumar, learned counsel for the accused-applicant appears and submits that the applicant and victim/opposite party no.5 have married each other as per Hindu rites and rituals in a temple. Presently the couple is residing as husband and wife. The FIR of enticement has been lodged by the father of victim. There is subsequent compromise between the accused-applicant and the informant, which is at page no.61 of the application. Hence seeks interference of this Court to quash the proceedings and the charge sheet.
2. Learned AGA is present for the State-opposite party no.1.
3. Issue notice to opposite party nos. 4 and 5 returnable at an early date.
4. None appeared for the opposite party nos. 2 and 3.
5. List on 30.01.2026 amongst top ten cases.
6. In the meantime, the parties may exchange affidavits.
7. The parties may appear before the trial court and file the compromise entered into between the parties, which shall be verified by the trial court. The trial court may return the certified copy of compromise, keeping the original in the record, to this Court at an early date.
8. Considering the compromise entered into between the parties, further proceeding of Case No. 180 of 2024 (State Vs. Mayank Yadav) arising

out of Case Crime No. 382 of 2024, under Sections 363, 366, 376 IPC and Section 3/4 POCSO Act, P.S. Kotwali Orai, District Jalaun shall remain stayed.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

November 19, 2025

Abhishek Sri.