



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - A No. - 17017 of 2025**

Satya Narain Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Ajai Krishna Srivastava,  
Ram Sheel Sharma  
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Adarsh Bhushan, Archit  
Mandhyan, C.S.C.

---

**Court No. - 34**

**HON'BLE VIKRAM D. CHAUHAN, J.**

1. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for respondent nos.2 to 5, is taken on record.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for respondent nos.2 to 5 that recovery of Rs.15,29,000/- is against petitioner and leave encashment as well as group insurance have been paid to the petitioner. So far as gratuity to the tune of Rs.10,65,750/- as well as C.P.F. amount of Rs.23,55,673/-, the dues against petitioner have been directed to be adjusted, after which, Bank of Baroda has been instructed to release the remaining amount of Rs.18,92,423/- to the petitioner. However, petitioner is not approaching the Bank to give his consent.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner submits that he may be granted time to complete the formalities with the Bank for receiving the amount subject to challenge to the illegal recovery being made by respondents.

4. In view of aforesaid, let the formalities be completed by petitioner. If the petitioner completed the formalities, the Bank shall release the amount in favour of petitioner to the tune of Rs.18,92,423/-.

5. List on 8.4.2026 as fresh.

**March 12, 2026**  
D. Tamang

**(Vikram D. Chauhan,J.)**