



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 42620 of 2025

Saleem Ansari

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sanjeev Kumar Khare
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 78

HON'BLE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that no offence under Section 67 of Information Technology Act is made out against the applicant and investigating officer of the case without proper and fair investigation, submitted charge sheet against the applicant in the aforesaid sections and learned Magistrate without complying his judicial mind took cognizance of the offence. It is further submitted that applicant was not named in the F.I.R. and he was made accused during the course of investigation on the basis of statement of first informant/victim in which it is alleged by her that applicant had gone to Dubai and her obscene video was uploaded from Dubai.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant has drawn attention of the court at page 24 of the affidavit wherein statement of constable Mukesh was recorded who stated that no video was handed over in pen drive by the informant.
4. Learned A.G.A. seeks time to obtain instructions.
5. Put up this case on 20.11.2025, as fresh.
6. Till then no coercive action shall be taken against the applicant in Criminal Case No. 40465 of 2024 (State vs. Saleem Ansari), arising out of Case Crime No. 19 of 2024, under Sections 67 of I.T. Act, P.S. Bahariyabad, District Ghazipur, as well as charge sheet dated 13.7.2024 and cognizance/summoning order dated 23.9.2024, pending in the court of C.J.M. Ghazipur.

November 3, 2025
A.P. Pandey

(Vivek Kumar Singh,J.)