



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 8720 of 2025**

Sumit Kumar Alias Sumit Singhani Alias
Sanjay Singhani

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Lal Mani Singh, Praveen Kumar
Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party(s): G.A., Rajesh Dwivedi

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Lal Mani Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and Sri Rajesh Dwivedi, learned counsel for the first informant and perused the record.

2. Present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 0192 of 2025, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC, Police Station Kidwai Nagar, District Kanpur Nagar with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has an apprehension that he may be arrested in the above-mentioned case. He further submits that no offence is made out against the applicant. It is also submitted that the applicant is said to have received Rs. 1,00,000/- as earnest money for sale of a flat to the first informant but neither the earnest money has been returned nor sale deed has been executed. It is also submitted that there is no agreement to sell in the present case any any agreement which is said to have been entered into is an oral agreement. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required

by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra**, (2011) 1 SCC 694, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, the Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. Considering the above submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and without expressing any opinion on merits, the applicant- **Sumit Kumar Alias Sumit Singhani Alias Sanjay Singhani** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on **interim anticipatory bail** on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 18.12.2025** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would cooperate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of interim interim anticipatory bail bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant herein.

8. List this case as fresh on 18.12.2025 at 3:30 pm.

9. In the meantime, learned counsel for the first informant may file counter affidavit.

December 3, 2025

Abhishek

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)