



2026:AHC:57187

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 37307 of 2025

Phoolra Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 11 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Vikas Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Pradeep Singh

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE SAURABH SHYAM SHAMSHERY, J.

1. Heard Mr. Vikas Srivastava, learned counsel for petitioner, Mr. Pradeep Singh, learned counsel for Land Management Committee and Mr. Vijay Shyam Bahadur, learned Standing Counsel for State.

2. I don't find that any ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order, since it is only an order to remand the matter. However, an apprehension of learned counsel for the petitioner that on remand concerned authority may go beyond the scope of mutation can be protected with an observation that said authority will decide proceedings only within the scope of mutation proceedings not otherwise.

3. The authority will decide without getting influence with any observation made in the impugned order or any earlier order on issue, therefore, this writ petition is disposed of with an observation that proceeding of mutation be decided expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today.

(Saurabh Shyam Shamshery,J.)

March 19, 2026

A.N. Mishra