



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
WRIT - C No. - 36650 of 2025**

Committee Of Management, Naval Kishore Bhartiya
Municipal Girls Post-Graduate College And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)

: Lavkush Singh, Prabhakar Awasthi

Counsel for Respondent(s)

: C.S.C., Pramod Kumar Srivastava, Prashant Mathur

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE VIVEK SARAN, J.

1. Heard Sri Prabhakar Awasthi, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Nitish Shakhya, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Prashant Mathur, learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 and 3 and Sri Pramod Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent no.4 and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Precise argument of the learned Standing Counsel for the State is that the University does not have any power to quash the committee and to suspend the employees working under the petitioners, since it is the petitioner who is appointing/disciplinary authority of its employees.

3. *Per contra*, Sri Mathur, learned counsel for the respondent no.4 states that the University is empowered under Section 13(4) and 13(6) of the U.P. State Universities Act, 1973 and under statute 2.02 of the first statute of the Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University.

4. This Court finds that the entire controversy revolves around one Kripal Singh although he is not before the Court.

5. Be that as it may, all the respondents are directed to file their counter affidavit within 10 days.

6. Put up this case on **15.12.2025** as fresh.

November 27, 2025
Deepika

(Vivek Saran,J.)