



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 36470 of 2024

Satender Kumar Antil

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

Central Bureau Of Investigation And Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Sushil Shukla

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Rahul Srivastava, Sanjay Kumar Yadav

Court No. - 53

HON'BLE SAMIT GOPAL, J.

1. List revised.

2. Sri Ravitendra Pratap Singh Chandel, Advocate, appears on behalf of Sri Sushil Shukla, learned Senior Advocate, who appears for the applicant and submits that since Sri Sushil Shukla has been designated as a Senior Advocate, he may be granted two weeks' time to file *Vakalatnama* on behalf of applicant.

3. Sri Rahul Srivastava, learned counsel for the C.B.I. is present.

4. The records shows that against order dated 29.11.2024 of this Court, the applicant had approached the Apex Court in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) Diary No(s). 18801 of 2025, Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.) which although was dismissed, however the Apex Court requested the High Court, if possible to expedite the hearing of the case pending before it. Thus, the same shows that the Apex Court was approached by the applicant himself.

5. The reference of the order of the Apex Court and also the seriousness for getting the matter decided expeditiously is also apparent from the order dated 22.09.2025 passed in the present matter by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Time for filing power has already been prayed for by the same learned counsel on 17.11.2025 and was allowed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The following order was passed on the said date:-

"1. Sri Sushil Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. However, Sri Rahul Srivastava, learned counsel for CBI is present.

2. At this stage, Sri Ravitendra Pratap Singh Chandel, learned Advocate apprised the Court that Sri Sushil Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant has been designated as Senior by this Court, therefore, a week's time may be given to him to file 'Power' on behalf of the applicant.

3. In view of above, the case is passed over.

4. List on 17.12.2025 amongst top ten cases."

6. Today again after more than one month of the said request, the same request is being made by the same learned counsel. This clearly shows that there is no inclination of learned counsel for the applicant to pursue the matter and argue it and get the matter decided so that the order dated 09.05.2025 of the Apex Court is complied with and honored.

7. Since, there is no one present to press this application on behalf of applicant today, and a request is made again for adjournment on the ground of filing of power as a last opportunity, the same is allowed.

8. It is expected by learned counsel for the applicant that the order of the Apex Court is honored by him in a petition filed by him only.

9. Let the matter be listed on 29.01.2026.

(Samit Gopal,J.)

January 7, 2026
Vibha Singh