



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 4318 of 2025

Amar Nath Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Deputy Director Of Consolidation And 20 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Abhishek Tiwari, Dinesh Tiwari, Virendra Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: Avinash Chandra Srivastava, C.S.C., Dr. Akhilesh Kumar

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the Settlement Officer of Consolidation has illegally dismissed the appeal on the ground of laches without properly advertng to the cogent reasons assigned by the present petitioner, and the same has illegally been affirmed by revisional court.
2. It is further submitted that during the provisional consolidation scheme, the exchange value of the plot No. 558 was fixed and some portion of the aforesaid plot No. 558 was allotted in the chak of the present petitioner. At subsequent stage, Babu Lal (predecessor-in-interest of respondents No. 7 to 10) filed an objection to enhance the area of plot No. 558 from 1 Bigha 19 Biswa to 3 Bigha to 3 Biswa. After the chequered history of litigation, the aforesaid objection for enhancement of the area was allowed by the ex-parte order without affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. While the petitioner came to know about the implementation of the order dated 26.02.1997 passed by the Consolidation Officer, he has filed an appeal on 09.08.2019 with specific plea that he came to know for the first time about the order dated 26.02.1997 passed by the Consolidation Officer on 15.07.2019. Learned counsel for the petitioner has emphasized that due to enhancement of the area, the petitioner has been adversely affected, inasmuch as land measuring 10 Biswa has been taken out of his chak and given to the contesting respondents; however, in lieu thereof, no other plot has been allotted to him equivalent to the exchange value of the taken area.
3. The matter requires consideration.
4. Respondent Nos.1 to 4 are represented though learned Standing Counsel.

Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are represented through learned counsel for the Gaon Sabha. Private-respondent No. 7 is represented through his counsel as well.

5. Let notice be issued to the respondent Nos. 8 to 21 fixing **19.02.2026** as the date.

6. In the meantime, both the parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.

7. Till the next date of listing, parties are directed to maintain status quo with respect to the nature and possession over the property in question.

January 7, 2026

vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)