



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 38912 of 2025

Gudia Gautam

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pradeep Kumar Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 81

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated by opposite party no.2. The F.I.R. has been lodged against the accused-applicant for abatement and enticement of her daughter. The accused-applicant and opposite party no.2 are neighbours. They have entered into compromise, annexed at page no.75, whereby the opposite party no.2 does not want to pursue the case. As such, the applicant seeks intervention of this Court to quash the proceedings.
3. Learned A.G.A. accepts notice for the State.
4. Issue notice to opposite party no.2 returnable at an early date.
5. List this case on 06.11.2025.
6. In the meantime, the parties may exchange affidavits.
7. Considering that there is compromise entered into between

the parties, who are neighbours, the proceedings in Sessions Trial No.102 of 2025 (State Vs. Arun and others), arising out of Case Crime No.28 of 2024, under Sections 363, 120B I.P.C. and Section 16/17 of POCSO Act, Police Station Sureri, District Jaunpur, pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge/Special Judge, POCSO Act, (Ananya) Jaunpur, shall remain stayed qua the applicant.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 7, 2025

Shivangi