



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 36485 of 2025

Rajesh Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Jitendra Rana
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 79

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Jitendra Rana, learned counsel appears for the applicant and submits that the accused-applicant is not named in the FIR, which is registered against four persons. The allegation in the FIR is that the opposite party no.2 was the owner of the land. Some persons have impersonated a lady as opposite party no.2 and got the sale deed registered in favour of the accused no.1 Prabhawati Devi. The co-accused Hajari Prasad is the husband of Prabhawati Devi, whereas co-accused Rajmangal Singh and Ramnath Singh were the witnesses of the sale deed. Further submits that the charge sheet has been submitted against the applicant merely on the basis of statement of the co-accused Hajari Prasad that the applicant has intimated that her relative, a lady, wants to sell her property. It is on the basis of this statement that the role of accused came into the picture, which led to submission of charge sheet. Further submits that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in this case, who has not received any money in the transaction, hence seeks interference of this Court.

2. Learned A.G.A. appears for the State-opposite party no.1.

3. Issue notice to the opposite party no.2, returnable at an early

date.

4. List this matter on 16.10.2025, as fresh.

5. In the meantime, both the parties may file their respective affidavits, if any.

September 22, 2025

Mini

(Avnish Saxena,J.)