



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 3614 of 2025

Radhey Shyam And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Shriprakash Shrivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 37

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Shriprakash Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Rai, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State respondents.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that plot in question has been declared non-agricultural without affording opportunity of hearing to petitioners who is co-sharer of the plot in question. He submitted that restoration application filed by petitioners has been rejected on misconceived ground.

3. Matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to respondent nos. 3 returnable at an early date.

5. Steps be taken within two weeks.

6. Respondent no. 4 is stated to be proforma respondents, as such, notice to respondent no.4 is dispensed with.

7. Respondent nos. 3 shall file counter affidavit within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

8. List this matter on 11.11.2025.

9. Until further orders of this Court, the effect and operation of the order dated 25.10.2024 passed by respondent no.2, Deputy Director of Consolidation Siddharth Nagar shall remain stayed.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

September 10, 2025

Vandana Y.