



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 3689 of 2025

Jagdish Prasad And 2 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 9 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Chandra Prakash Garg
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Ram Singh, C.S.C., Sheo Kinkar Singh, Suryaprakash Sharma

Court No. - 55

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

**In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation Application No.3 of
2025 along with Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.4 of
2025**

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.
2. The instant petition has been filed in respect to the deceased respondent nos.8 & 10.
3. The explanation given for the condonation of delay in the affidavit is satisfactory.
4. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.
5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent nos.8 & 10 and name of his legal heirs as mentioned in paragraph nos.1 & 2 of the application be substituted/ impleaded as respondent nos.8/1, 8/2 & 10/1.
6. The applications are accordingly, allowed.

Order on Writ Petition

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to incorporate the substitution/ impleadment in the array of the parties to the

writ petition during course of the day.

2. Put up this case as fresh on 18.12.2025.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

December 9, 2025
Rameez