



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 29547 of 2025

Rajeev Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Prashant Sharma, Shivam Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. It is argued by the counsel for the petitioner that the order passed under Section 95 (1) (g) of the U.P. Panchayat Raj Act, 1947 by the District Magistrate, Azamgarh/ Respondent No.2 is under challenge.
2. It is stated in paragraph-22 of the writ petition that the Respondent No.2/ District Magistrate, Azamgarh has not recorded his prima facie satisfaction for holding a final inquiry. It is argued that earlier on the same complaint made against the petitioner which was inquired by the authorities and inquiry report submitted by the Inquiry Officers to the Block Development Officer, copy of which is appended at page-36 to the writ petition.
3. It is further argued that again a complaint was made against the petitioner and on the basis of the same, again a direction has been given to make a fresh inquiry which is in violation of the Government Order dated 18.04.2019. It is further argued that order impugned has been passed without recording any prima facie satisfaction.
4. In this view of the matter, as prayed for by the counsel for the Respondents, ten days' time is granted to seek instructions in the matter.
5. Put up this matter as fresh on 15.09.2025.

(Prakash Padia,J.)

August 28, 2025

Swati