

Court No. - 85

Case :- CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 4068 of 2024

Revisionist :- Akhlesh Rajpoot

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Revisionist :- Vinod Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A., Shiv Mangal Pandey

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava, J.

1. In pursuance to earlier order, demand draft of Rs.30,000/- has been served by learned counsel for the revisionist to Sri Shiv Mangal Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that in spite of preferring application under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, notices have already been issued therein in favour of opposite party no. 2 for appearance wherein the last opportunity has already been granted by learned concerned court but in spite of the same, none appeared at the behest of opposite party no. 2.

3. Learned counsel for the revisionist further submitted that on one pretext there is no appearance in proceedings initiated under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and on other side, recovery proceedings has already been initiated in pursuance to order dated 08.05.2024 passed in proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Kasganj.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of opposite party no. 2 may prefer counter affidavit within four weeks. Two weeks thereafter for rejoinder, if any.

6. List after exchange of pleadings.

7. Till the next date of listing, operation of impugned order dated 08.05.2024 passed in Criminal Misc. Case no. 398/2023 by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Kasganj shall remain stayed.

Order Date :- 19.12.2024

Shaswat