



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 29902 of 2025

Praveen Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Om Prakash Shukla
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 84

HON'BLE KSHITIJ SHAILENDRA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present application has been filed for quashing of the orders dated 10.06.2025 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge/Special Judge (M.P./M.L.A.), Prayagraj in Criminal Revision No. 693 of 2023 (Praveen Kumar Vs. State of U.P. and others) and 08.12.2023 passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Prayagraj in Misc. Application No. 3328 of 2023 (Praveen Kumar Vs. Ajit Kumar Singh and others).
3. By order dated 12.09.2025, notices were issued to opposite party nos. 2, 3 and 4.
4. Office report dated 15.10.2025 indicates that notices were issued to opposite party nos. 2, 3 and 4 but report regarding service is awaited.
5. Submission is that from bare perusal of two order sheets of case No. 1554 of 2022 under Section 26 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, it is apparent that though 24.03.2022 was a date fixed in the said proceedings, after certified copy of the said order sheet was issued, later on, opposite parties manipulated the order sheet and inserted another order dated 23.03.2022. Alleging the said act of the opposite parties as fabrication and forgery in the record of court proceedings, the aforesaid application was filed, however, the same has been rejected by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Prayagraj and revision filed against the said order has also been dismissed.

6. Learned counsel has demonstrated on record that though learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Prayagraj accepted the allegations levelled by the applicant and held that forgery has been committed during the course of judicial proceedings, even then, without recording any reason, the application was rejected, whereas the revisional court has shut its eyes from the controversy and has cursorily observed that on bare perusal of the order sheet, no discrepancy stands reflected.

7. *Prima facie*, both the orders impugned reflect cursory approach of the courts below and, therefore, the matter requires consideration.

8. Considering the above situation, let notices be served upon opposite party nos. 2, 3 and 4 through Chief Judicial Magistrate, Prayagraj by all means positively by 15.12.2025, failing which, coercive measures shall be taken for effecting service against the said opposite parties.

9. Put up as fresh on **16.12.2025** along with office report regarding service of notice.

(Kshitij Shailendra,J.)

November 20, 2025

AKShukla/-