



2026:AHC:105235

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 28661 of 2025

Vijay Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pragati, Shaili Ganguly
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Rajeev Kumar Pal

Court No. - 80

HON'BLE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State perused the record.
2. This application has been filed by the applicant to quash the charge-sheet dated 29.3.2024 and cognizance order dated 13.9.2024 in Case No. 16384 of 2024 (State vs. Sunil and others) arising out of Case Crime No. 49 of 2024, under Sections 342, 323, 504, 376, 354(b), 120-B IPC, Police Station Pallavpuram, District Meerut pending before Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Court No. 3, Meerut.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the proceeding initiated against the applicant is illegal and against the material available on record; the material of investigation does not disclose commission of any alleged offences against the applicant and as such the proceedings initiated against the applicant including the impugned charge-sheet and cognizance/summoning order are liable to be quashed by this court.
4. Per contra, learned AGA for the State vehemently opposed the prayer as made in the application and rebutted the stand taken up by learned counsel for applicant by way of submitting that the averments whatsoever has been raised by learned counsel for applicant is subject matter of trial and submitted that after considering the material available on record, charge-sheet has been submitted against the applicant upon which learned court concerned has rightly taken cognizance and summoned the applicant.

5. After hearing rival submissions extended by learned counsel for the parties and by bare perusal of record, there appears to be no illegality and impropriety in the impugned charge-sheet and cognizance/summoning order and as such learned court concerned has rightly proceeded against the applicant.

6. In view thereof, the instant application under Section 528 B.N.S.S. lacks merit and is hereby dismissed.

(Vivek Kumar Singh,J.)

May 7, 2026
Lalit Shukla