



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 4411 of 2025

Rahul

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Sadashiv Tripathi, Shyam Shankar
Shukla, Sushil Kumar

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Rajneesh Kumar Singh, G.A.

Court No. - 88

HON'BLE PRASHANT MISHRA-I, J.

1. Sri Amod Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Sri Shyam Shankar Shukla, learned counsel for the revisionist, Sri Rajneesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for opposite party nos. 2 & 3 and learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionist stated that an amount of Rs. 60,000/- has been deposited before the trial court on 13.03.2026 in compliance of this Court's order dated 09.03.2026.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 2 & 3 stated that the amount has still not been paid to opposite party nos. 2 & 3 because in the Court's order dated 09.03.2026 names of opposite party nos. 2 & 3 were not mentioned.
4. It appears from perusal of the record that opposite party no. 2 is Smt. Renu and opposite party no. 3 is Anshu, aged about one year and Opposite party no. 2, Smt. Renu is mother of opposite party no. 3, Anshu. Hence, the amount of Rs. 60,000/- which is to be paid to opposite parties, shall be paid to opposite party no. 2, Smt. Renu, as ordered by this Court on 09.03.2026.
5. Since learned counsel for the revisionist has complied with the order passed by this Court dated 09.03.2026, interim order shall remain extended till the next date of listing.

6. List on 22.04.2026.

7. Counter-affidavit shall be filed by learned counsel for opposite party nos. 2 & 3 by the next date of listing.

(Prashant Mishra-I,J.)

March 25, 2026

A. Mandhani