



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 7375 of 2025

Deshpal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Up And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Om Narayan Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 44

HON'BLE VINAI KUMAR DWIVEDI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Om Narayan Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned AGA for the State.
2. A perusal of the office report shows that only steps have been taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner. It does not show that whether notices were served upon opposite parties or not. Hence, office is directed to submit a fresh report about service of notice on opposite parties.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner referring the impugned order of the trial Court and prays for staying the impugned order. However, without the report about service of notice from the office, it would not be appropriate to pass any order on stay application.
4. Put up as fresh again on **26.02.2026**.

(Vinai Kumar Dwivedi,J.)

February 19, 2026

NSC