

Court No. - 39

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 21134 of 2025

Petitioner :- Neha Sharma

Respondent :- Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anshul Kumar Singhal, Neeraj Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Pranjal Mehrotra, Utkarsh Prakash Singh

Hon'ble Arindam Sinha, J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.

Mr. Anshul Kumar Singhal, learned advocate appears on behalf of petitioner and submits, under challenge is, *inter alia*, letter of intent (LoI) dated 20th January, 2022 issued in favour of private respondent no.4. He draws attention to particulars of type of retail outlet (RO) from the chart at page 59 to submit, the allotment was to be in respect of 'rural retail outlet'. He demonstrates from the guidelines at page 62, under identification of locations clause (ii) rural ROs must have location in rural areas but not on highways (NH/SH etc.). He submits, Ganj-Chandpur Road in district-Bijnor is a State Highway. He seeks interference.

Mr. Pranjal Mehrotra, learned advocate appears on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2 (the oil company). He submits, the writ petition is not maintainable as petitioner is an unsuccessful candidate.

Mr. Raj Mohan Upadhyay, learned advocate, Additional Chief Standing Counsel appears on behalf of respondent no.3 (the Additional District Magistrate). Mr. Utkarsh Prakash Singh, learned advocate appears on behalf of private respondent no.4, who has been issued with the LoI.

The oil company and respondent no.3 are to respectively issue written instructions on location of the RO mentioned in said LoI dated 20th January, 2022 with reference to petitioner's allegation.

List on **26th August, 2025** as fresh.

Order Date :- 13.8.2025
Ashutosh

(Arindam Sinha, J.)

(Prashant Kumar, J.)