

**Court No. - 48**

**Case :-** WRIT - B No. - 4120 of 2023

**Petitioner :-** Hira Lal And 2 Others

**Respondent :-** Deputy Director Of Consolidation And 17 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Panchu Ram Maurya, Prashant Maurya

**Counsel for Respondent :-** CSC, Heera Lal Yadav

**Hon'ble Dinesh Pathak, J.**

1. Supplementary affidavit filed today by learned counsel for the petitioners is taken on record.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the Deputy Director of Consolidation (in brevity 'D.D.C.') has illegally taken into account the period of pendency of revision while dismissing the same. It is further submitted that the D.D.C. has given perverse finding qua chak of the petitioners which is their original holding i.e. plot no.394 whereas no area has been allotted to them over the said plot, despite the fact that share of Karm Dev, who died issue-less, also devolved upon the petitioners over the aforesaid plot. It is next submitted that, till date, the petitioners are in possession of the plot in question including share of Karm Dev.
3. Matter requires consideration.
4. Respondents no.1, 2 and 18 are represented through the learned Standing Counsel. Counsel for respondent no.17 is also present. Issue notice to respondents no.3 to 16 fixing **29.03.2024** as the date. In the meantime, parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.
5. Till the next date of listing, parties are directed to maintain status quo as on today with respect to nature and possession over plot no.394.

**Order Date :-** 8.2.2024

VR