

**Court No. - 29**

**Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 8038 of 2017

**Petitioner :-** Baleshwar and another

**Respondent :-** State of U.P. and 2 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Rakesh Pande, Saurabh Basu, Tej Bahadur Rai

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C., Kaushalendra Nath Singh, Shivam Yadav

**Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.**

**Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.**

1. In the instant matter, the core issue is whether the petitioners are not entitled to get any benefit under the Scheme initiated by the NOIDA authority on the ground that the petitioners were lease/patta holders.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that categorical averment is made in the writ petition, wherein it is claimed that the petitioners are having transferable rights and they have placed reliance on the Akar Patra-45 of the consolidation proceeding.

3. Before proceeding further in the matter, let the petitioners bring on record the original lease/patta, which was accorded by the Competent Authority; its entry in the revenue record and the order, whereby the transferable rights had been accorded in favour of the petitioners. The relevant documents are to be appended alongwith the supplementary affidavit.

4. List this matter as peremptorily in top ten of the cases on 09.09.2024.

**Order Date :-** 21.8.2024

RKP