



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 13145 of 2026

Shree Ganga And 4 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Abhijit Chatterji, Diptiman Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Archit Mandhyan, C.S.C.

Court No. - 4

HON'BLE NEERAJ TIWARI, J.
HON'BLE SUDHANSHU CHAUHAN, J.

1. Sri S.C. Dwivedi, Advocate has put in appearance and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent nos. 2 & 3, which is taken on record.
2. Heard Sri Diptiman Singh, learned counsel for petitioner, learned standing counsel for State and Sri M.C. Chaturvedi, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri S.C. Dwivedi, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3.
3. At the very outset, learned Senior Counsel submitted that in the light of judgment of Apex Court in the matter of Heinz India Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. vs. State of U.P. and Ors.; (2012) 5 SCC 443, petitioner is having alternative remedy to file appeal under Section 32 of Uttar Pradesh Krishi Utpadan Mandi Adhiniyam, 1964. He next submitted that present controversy has already been decided by this Court as well as High Court of Uttaranchal in the matters of Shakil Ahmad and another vs. State of U.P. through the Special Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of U.P. Civil Secretariat, Lucknow and others (Writ-C No. 7614 of 2012) and Rajdhani Plywood Industries (Pvt.) Ltd. vs. Director of U.P. Rajya Mandi Prishad & another; 2012 SCC OnLine Utt 1461.
4. Learned counsel for petitioner prays for and is granted one week time to go through the judgment and assist the Court.
5. On his request, put up as fresh on 15.04.2026.

April 7, 2026
Sartaj

(Sudhanshu Chauhan,J.) (Neeraj Tiwari,J.)