



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 68546 of 2013

Lal Ji Singh Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Ajay Kumar Singh Yadav, Ajay Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Ashutosh Vaish, Ayank Mishra, Usha Kiran

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 03.03.2011, affirmed in appeal by order dated 31.12.2012, whereby minor punishment of recovery of the pecuniary loss allegedly caused by the petitioner has been imposed upon him.
2. Solitary submission advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that punishment order was passed after the petitioner had attained the age of superannuation and, therefore, in absence of adoption of Article 351-A of the Civil Services Regulations, the minor punishment for deduction of 2% from the petitioner's pension, is unsustainable in the eye of law. He has also emphasized upon the interim order dated 13.12.2013 passed by this Court.
3. Ms. Usha Kiran, learned counsel for the Power Corporation, has submitted that the Article 351-A of the Civil Services Regulations was adopted by the 103rd meeting of the Board on 27.12.2013, which was subsequently clarified by an Official Memorandum dated 29.01.2014. However, a copy of the Office Memorandum dated 29.01.20214 is not on the record. She has prayed for and is granted three days' time to file a supplementary counter affidavit along with aforesaid Office Memorandum.
4. List this matter on 18.05.2026.

May 12, 2026
vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)