

Court No. - 69

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482
BNSS No. - 3060 of 2025

Applicant :- Anshul Goyal

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Rishab Agrawal, Vinayak Mithal

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Vivek Varma, J.

1. Heard Sri Vinayak Mithal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Sri Nagendra Kumar Mishra, learned Brief Holder for the State- opposite party no. 1.

2. The instant application has been filed with the prayer to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant in Case Crime No. 248 of 2023, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B I.P.C., Police Station Sector 20, District Gautam Budh Nagar.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was not named in the first information report and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The name of the applicant surfaced during the course of investigation. At this stage there is no credible evidence against the applicant. Further, on similar allegations two more first information reports (Case Crime No. 203 of 2023 and Case Crime No. 255 of 2023) were lodged against the applicant and joint investigation was initiated in all the three first information reports. In Case Crime No. 203 of 2023, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 I.P.C., Police Station Sector 20, Noida, District Gautam Budh Nagar the anticipatory bail application was rejected by the Additional Sessions Judge, Court No. 5, District Gautam Budh Nagar on 06.10.2023. Thereafter the applicant filed Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 438 Cr.P.C. No. 12724 of 2013, Anshul Goyal v. State of U.P. and others, wherein this Court vide order dated 27.03.2024 rejected the said application. Thereafter the applicant preferred Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No. 5472 of 2024, Anshul Goyal v. State of U.P. and another, assailing the validity of the order passed by this Court on 07.03.2024. The Hon'ble Supreme Court on 29.04.2024 passed an interim order in favour of the applicant. The said interim order was made absolute by the Supreme Court vide order dated 27.08.2024. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the investigation is pending. The applicant is ready to cooperate with the investigation. The criminal history of the applicant has been explained in Paragraph-34 of the affidavit. The applicant has apprehension of his arrest in the above mentioned case and in case the applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Issue notice to the opposite party no. 2 returnable at an early date.

6. The opposite parties are granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within a week thereafter.
7. Place this case on 17.07.2025 in the additional cause list.
8. Till the next date of listing or till the submission of police report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C., whichever is earlier, in the event of arrest the applicant- Anshul Goyal in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
 - (ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
 - (iii) The applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court and if he has passport, the same shall be deposited by him before the S.S.P./S.P. concerned.
 - (iv) The applicant at the time of execution of bond shall furnish his address and mobile number to the concerned police officer.
9. In default of any of the conditions, the Investigating Officer is at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.
10. The Investigating Officer is directed to conclude the investigation of the present case in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this order independently without being prejudiced by any observation made by this Court while considering and deciding the present anticipatory bail application of the applicant.
11. The applicant is directed to produce a copy of this order before the S.S.P./S.P. concerned within ten days from today, who shall ensure the compliance of present order.

Order Date :- 22.5.2025
SKT/-