

**Court No. - 5**

**Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 32242 of 2011

**Petitioner :-** Smt. Sandhya

**Respondent :-** State of U.P. and Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** R.B.S. Rathaur, S.S. Chauhan, Virendra Singh

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C., Neeraj Tiwari, Rohit Pandey, Saurabh Srivastava, Yatindra Shukla, Yogendra Shukla

**Hon'ble Piyush Agrawal, J.**

Heard Sri S.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. S. Tiwari holding brief of Sri Rohit Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent no.3 and Sri Saurabh Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was a regular student of B.Ed. course for the Academic Session 2003-2004 in Dayanand Mahila Prashikshan Sansthan Mahaviyalaya, Kanpur but in spite of giving examinations her result has not been declared. He further submits that verification has also been done by the invigilators duly counter signed by the Principal of the College, which has been brought on record as annexure 1 to the writ petition. The said fact has neither been denied by the college authorities nor the University authorities. He further relied upon Annexure C.A.II at page 111 of the writ petition, where the name of the petitioner has been shown as regular student at Sl. No. 320. He further submits that the two documents which are filed along with the writ petition i.e. firstly, the petitioner was a regular student and secondly, she gave examinations for the Academic Session 2003-2004 which have been verified by the Principal of the College, have neither been denied by the College nor the University. The only stand taken by the College was that the petitioner was not admitted as regular student since there is no proper admission form, therefore there was no question to forward her form to the University. The same stand has been taken by the University.

On the pointed query as to how the petitioner was shown as regular student at Sl.No. 320 as mentioned in Annexure C.A.II at page 111 of the writ petition and how the petitioner appeared in the examination which was verified by the Principal of the College as mentioned in Annexure 1 of the writ petition, learned counsel for the respondents seek time to obtain instructions.

As prayed list for the further hearing on 23.8.2023.

**Order Date :-** 9.8.2023

samz