



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 2106 of 2026

Ankit

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Sandeep Kumar, Shravana Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 86

HON'BLE SUBHASH CHANDRA SHARMA, J.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the revisionist that in this case the police has filed charge sheet against him under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B I.P.C. and 4/21 Mines and Mineral Act and whereas case under Section 4/21 Mines and Mineral Act cannot be instituted on police report but on the basis of complaint filed by the competent authority. The learned trial court did not consider these facts but rejected the discharge application by order dated 09.01.2026 illegally.

The matter involves question of law

Admit.

Opposite party no. 2 is Incharge Inspector, Police Station Kotwali Dehat, District Saharanpur, who is informant and he lodged the F.I.R. in discharge of official duties as public servant, therefore, he is being represented by learned A.G.A. As a result, it requires no notice to opposite party no. 2.

List on 20.04.2026 as fresh for hearing.

March 26, 2026
Suraj Srivastav

(Subhash Chandra Sharma,J.)