



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 11601 of 2026

Sanjay Jaiswal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Arun Mishra, Vijay Pratap Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Harshit Pandey

Court No. - 21

**HON'BLE MAHESH CHANDRA TRIPATHI, J.
HON'BLE KUNAL RAVI SINGH, J.**

1. Heard Sri Arun Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri Fuzail Ahmad Ansari, learned Standing Counsel for the State respondents and Sri Harshit Pandey, learned counsel for the Prayagraj Development Authority.
2. The petitioner has invoked the writ jurisdiction for a direction commanding the respondent Authority to finalize the proceedings under Section 27 of the U.P. Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973.
3. Sri Arun Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of the previous order passed by the Division Bench in Writ-C No. 15953 of 2025, till date the respondent Authority has not finalized the proceedings and meanwhile the respondent no. 3 has not only carried out the construction but also created obstruction in the ingress and egress of the petitioner and as such there is a fresh cause of action.
4. Before deciding the matter on merits, let notice be issued to respondent no. 3 returnable within three weeks. Steps be taken within three days. The respondents may file their objection on or before the next date fixed.
5. Put this matter again as fresh on 20.04.2026.

(Kunal Ravi Singh,J.) (Mahesh Chandra Tripathi,J.)

March 26, 2026

Pkb/