



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 11109 of 2026

Pankaj Pratap Singh Urf Pankaj Chauhan

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Akhilesh Kumar Vishwakarma, Anil Kumar Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 29

**HON'BLE ARINDAM SINHA, J.
HON'BLE DEVENDRA SINGH-I, J.**

1. Mr. Vaibhav Mishra, learned advocate holding the brief appears on behalf of petitioners and submits, the State Level Committee on impugned order dated 22nd January, 2026 rejected the reference for continuance of security. He submits, purported reason given was criminal cases have been filed against his client and are pending. Therefore, threat perception has reduced. He submits further, the cases mentioned were instituted before security was given to his client. He seeks interference.

2. Mr. Mishra learned advocate, Additional Chief Standing Counsel appears on behalf of State and opposes the writ petition. He submits, reasoned order has been passed by the State Committee. There should not be interference.

3. Petitioner will provide English translation of impugned order dated 22nd January, 2026, on adjourned date, for demonstrating from said order any ground existing for judicial review.

4. List on **2nd April, 2026**, as fresh.

March 24, 2026
Ashutosh

(Arindam Sinha, J.)

(Devendra Singh-I, J.)