



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 4049 of 2026

Rajeev Kumar And 9 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 10 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Rakesh Kumar Mishra, Udayan Nandan
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Mahendra Narain Singh,
Shashank Kumar, Shivendra Kumar
Singh, Siddharth Khare, Vinod Kumar
Yadav

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard Sri Shashi Nandan, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Udayan Nandan, learned counsel for the petitioners; Sri Ashok Khare, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Siddharth Khare, learned counsel for the respondents No. 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 11; Sri Shashank Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent No. 9; Sri Abhijit Saxena, learned counsel for the UP Public Service Commission (respondent No. 4) and learned Standing Counsel representing the State-respondents.

2. Learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the State Government has miserably failed to decide the seniority list in compliance with the order dated 05.11.2024 passed by the Writ Court which has been affirmed in the Special Appeal (Defective) No. 296 of 2025 decided by order dated 29.04.2025. It is next submitted that the seniority list prepared by the State Government is not in consonance with the Rules 15(3), 16 and 19 of the Uttar Pradesh Teacher Education (Lecturer's Cadre) Service Rules, 2010.

3. The matter requires consideration.

4. List this matter on 17.04.2026 as fresh.

5. In the meantime, both the parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

March 25, 2026

vinay