



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 10292 of 2026

Vishal

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	: Parth Shukla, Vivek Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Compliance affidavit has been filed by Shri D.P.S. Chauhan, learned A.G.A. 1st on behalf of Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, in Court, today. The same is taken on record.

2. This matter was heard on 26.03.2026 and the following order was passed :

"1. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant has lodged the FIR regarding accidental death of his father. Subsequently, final report was submitted in that case. Thereafter, a protest petition was filed on behalf of the Insurance Company. In the further investigation, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant on the basis of statement of Sanjay, who was present with the father of the applicant as well as the statement of driver of Brezza Car who hit the deceased allegedly at the instructions of the present applicant.

2. Counsel for the applicant has relied upon the report dated 01.02.2025 of Vineet Bhatnagar, the then Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur who after receiving the complaint on behalf of the Insurance Company, submitted his report that it is a case of accident and he himself investigated the same, therefore, the Insurance Company has filed application for further investigation to avoid the payment of insurance claim after the death of the father of the present applicant.

3. From perusal of the records, it is clear that there are several witnesses and call details which show the involvement of the applicant in causing death of his father by showing his accident to claim insurance amount, though it appears to be a murder.

4. It is surprising that all these facts were not only ignored but the then Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur submitted the report contrary to the material available on record as well as case diary.

5. In such circumstances, it is directed that Mr. Vineet Bhatnagar, the then Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur shall appear in person before this Court on the next date to explain as to why he has submitted his report dated 01.02.2025 contrary to the records.

6. List this case on 09.04.2026 as fresh at 10:00 A.M.

7. The Director General of Police, Uttar Pradesh is directed to ensure presence of Mr. Vineet Bhatnagar, the then Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur before this Court on the next date.

8. Registrar (Compliance) is directed to send a copy of this order to the Director General of Police, Uttar Pradesh for its due compliance."

3. In pursuance of the order of this Court dated 26.03.2026, Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, appeared before this Court in person and stated that there was no negligence on his part and that he had submitted his report in pursuance of the letter dated 05.12.2024 issued by the Director General of Police, U.P., followed by the letter dated 10.12.2024 of the Superintendent of Police, Hapur, and he further stated that, on the basis of the facts and material available, he concluded his enquiry and submitted the enquiry report, wherein he observed that it is a case of accident and that the insurance company has made the application only to avoid payment of the claim under the insurance policy to the applicant Vishal, and he has also mentioned in the enquiry report that, in case the insurance company is still aggrieved, it may approach the concerned court.

4. Considering the aforesaid stand of Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, as well as the subsequent investigation, wherein it was found that it is a case of murder of Mukesh Chand Singhal, and that such facts were ignored by Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, but same were subsequently collected by the Investigating Officer of the case while submitting the report.

Therefore, the case of murder is made out.

5. Therefore, this Court is not satisfied with the aforesaid explanation and the matter requires enquiry by the police department. Accordingly, this Court directs the Director General of Police, U.P., to conduct an enquiry against Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, after giving him an opportunity of hearing, as to whether the enquiry report submitted by him on 01.02.2025 is correct despite the material indicating that it is a case of murder and not an accident, and during the enquiry, the Director General of Police, U.P., shall have the liberty to attach or transfer Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur, and to take appropriate action against him in accordance with the result of the enquiry.

6. This Court further directs the Director General of Police, U.P., to conduct enquiry against the Investigating Officer of the case who submitted final report in the present case despite being the case of murder.

7. Coming to the facts of the present case, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that there is no material against the applicant. He is innocent. Therefore, he should be enlarged on bail during the pendency of the trial.

8. Per contra, learned A.G.A. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is not only the confession of all the co-accused regarding the involvement of the applicant in committing the murder of Mukesh Chand Singhal and showing it as an accident, but there are other witnesses, including the wife of the applicant, who have supported the prosecution story, and the CDR report also shows the location of the accused person near the place of the incident.

9. Therefore, learned A.G.A. is directed to file an affidavit of the Superintendent of Police, Hapur, bringing on record the fact that, at the time of the alleged incident wherein Mukesh Chand Singhal died, the CDR location of the accused Sunil, Sateesh, Sanjay and Vishal, as well as the conversation between them at the time of the accident, just before the accident and after the accident as per CDR of the mobile phones of the

accused persons, and details of money, if any, transferred by the applicant to the co-accused persons, and the insurance claim, if any, taken by the applicant.

10. Put up this case as fresh on 29.04.2026.

11. By the next date of listing, the **Director General of Police, U.P.**, shall also forward the status of the enquiry report against **Shri Vineet Bhatnagar, Additional Superintendent of Police, Hapur**, through the **Superintendent of Police, Hapur**.

12. Let a copy of this order be communicated to **Director General of Police, U.P.** as well as **Superintendent of Police, Hapur** through **Registrar (Compliance)**, for compliance.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

April 9, 2026

SA