



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - A No. - 4120 of 2026**

Champa Lal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Anil Kumar, Saurabh Tripathi, Sr.  
Advocate  
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

---

**Court No. - 6**

**HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.**

1. Heard Shri Prabhakar Awasthi, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Shri Anil Kumar as well as Saurabh Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Order of suspension dated 01.03.2026 passed by the State Government is under challenge.
3. It is stated in paragraph-14 of the writ petition that at the time when the incident was taken place, the petitioner was working on the post of Regional Inspector Technical but subsequently now the petitioner has been promoted and joined his duties as Assistant Regional Transport Officer at District Siddharth Nagar. Hence it is argued that appointing authority in the said capacity was the Commissioner (Transport), and this way, the order impugned would be without jurisdiction.
4. He also placed reliance upon the judgment and order passed by the Lucknow Bench of this Court in Writ A No.3047 of 2026 (Vandana vs. State of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy./ Prin. Secy Deptt. Of Transport Lko. And 2 Others).
5. As prayed, a week's time is granted to the counsel for the respondents to seek instructions in the matter.

6. Put up this matter as fresh on 03.04.2026.

**(Prakash Padia,J.)**

**March 26, 2026**

Swati