



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 10755 of 2026

M/S Kant Construction Company

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Devbrat Mukherjee, Siddharth Mukherjee
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Harshit Kumar Srivastava, Himanshu Srivastava, Madan Lal Srivastava

Along with :

- Writ - C No. 12100 of 2026:**
M/s Rudra Enterprises
Versus
State of U.P. and 5 others
 - Writ - C No. 11154 of 2026:**
Kant Construction Company
Versus
State of U.P. and 3 others
 - Writ - C No. 12260 of 2026:**
Kant Construction Company
Versus
State of U.P. and 3 others
-

Court No. - 29

**HON'BLE ARINDAM SINHA, J.
HON'BLE SATYA VEER SINGH, J.**

1. Mr. Devbrat Mukherjee, learned advocate, appears on behalf of petitioner in the lead writ petition (Writ-C no. 10755 of 2026) along with others connected. He wants to argue on facts regarding his client's bid having been there for consideration and that rejection of it on technicality, was illegal. We observe that petitioner can seek to persuade us by relying on authority that a bid, disqualified on technicality, can be directed to be considered on demonstration of substantial compliance, for proceeding to evaluate the bid after the financial bid has been considered and highest bid declared. Mr. Manish Goel, learned senior advocate, Additional

Advocate General, appears on behalf of State.

2. Adjournment granted to petitioner is peremptory.

3. List for disposal on 8th May, 2026 as fresh. The interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

(Arindam Sinha,J.)

(Satya Veer Singh,J.)

May 6, 2026
Shiraz