



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 3838 of 2026

Inspector (Clerk) Gyan Prakash Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Archana Singh, Bramh Narayan Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE VIKAS BUDHWAR, J.

Contention of the learned counsel for the writ petitioner is that the order impugned seeks to make recovery from the writ petitioner for the negligence which is stated to be attributed upon the writ petitioner in terms of Rule 4(b)(v) of the Uttar Pradesh Police Officers of the Subordinate Ranks (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1991 and according to him, the order in question is appealable in view of Rule 20. *Prima facie*, Rule 20 of the 1991 Rules only provides for preferring of an appeal by the police personnel against the punishment mentioned in sub-clause (i) to (iii) and clause (a) and sub-clause (i) to (iv) of clause (b) of the Rule 4.

Shri R.S. Umrao, learned Standing Counsel seeks time to obtain instructions whether any corresponding amendment has been made in Rule 20 or not.

Put up this case on 24.03.2026 as fresh.

Instructions filed today are taken on record and marked as appendix A.

March 20, 2026

Ashu

(Vikas Budhwar,J.)