



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 687 of 2026

Pappu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Prahlad Kumar Bhardwaj
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Rameshwar Prasad Shukla

Court No. - 55

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Sri Prahlad Kumar Bhardwaj, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri R.C. Srivastava, learned Addl. C.S.C. for the state-respondents and Sri Rameshwar Prasad Shukla, learned counsel for the respondent-gaon sabha.
2. Instruction dated 19.3.2026, sent by the Tehsildar, Martinganj, Azamgarh has been placed before the Court which is taken on record.
3. Learned standing counsel, on the basis of the instruction dated 19.3.2026, submitted that there is no encroachment over plot no.1530. He further submitted that breadth of *chak marg* at the spot is 10 *kadi* (6.7 ft.). He further submitted that petitioner is the co-owner of plot nos. 1526 and 1527 and the petitioner's uncle (Suresh) is the owner of plot no.1528. He submitted that proceeding under Section 24 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 was initiated by the petitioner along with other co-sharer for demarcation of plot nos.1526, 1527 and 1528 which was rejected by the Sub Divisional Officer. He also submitted that the instant petition has not been filed in public interest, as such, the same should be dismissed.
4. Counsel for the petitioner is disputing the facts mentioned in the instruction.
5. Learned counsel for the State shall serve a copy of the instruction upon the counsel for the petitioner, during the course of the day.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall file reply to the facts mentioned in the instruction within 2 weeks.
7. Put up this matter as fresh on 3.4.2026.

March 20, 2026
C.Prakash

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)