



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 2671 of 2026**

Rohit Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Vijit Saxena
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Shri Hanuman Prasad Kushwaha, Learned counsel for the applicant has filed his Vakalatnama in the Registry today and appears for the informant.
2. Heard Shri Vijit Saxena, Learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Hanuman Prasad Kushwaha, Learned counsel for the applicant and the Learned AGA for the State.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant had never made any false promise of marriage to the victim, who is a married lady. He submits that the brother of the applicant was in jail in connection with another case and the victim was doing pairavi on behalf of her husband. It is there that they are said to have met each other, as is mentioned in the FIR itself, and became close to each other. He further submits that the applicant never made any false promise of marriage, and even otherwise, the victim, who is a married lady, could not have entered into a valid marriage with the applicant.
4. Shri Kushwaha, Learned counsel for the informant, on the other hand, contends that the victim is not a married lady. He seeks time to file a counter affidavit and rebut the allegations made in the affidavit accompanying the anticipatory bail application.
5. Learned AGA, on his instructions, states that the victim is a married

lady.

6. Taking into account the fact that the victim is a married lady and the charge against the applicant is of making a false promise of marriage, in the opinion of the Court, a prima facie case for grant of interim relief is made out.

7. Let a counter affidavit be filed by the Learned counsel for the informant and Learned AGA within two weeks. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within a week thereafter.

8. List on 17.04.2026.

9. Till the next date of listing, in the event of arrest of the applicant- **Rohit Kumar**, involved in the case crime No.209 of 2026 under Sections 69, 89, 316(2), 115(2), 352, 351(3) BNS, P.S. Kotwali, District Lalitpur, he shall be released on interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

(v) that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

March 26, 2026

A. Pandey