



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 9199 of 2026

Rajkumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Mainuddin Ahamad, Mohd. Irfan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE SAMEER JAIN, J.

1. Sri Mohd. Irfan, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Imran Khan, learned AGA, for the State, are present.
2. However, it reflects that daughter-in-law of the applicant died due to strangulation and she also sustained number of injuries but according to applicant, who is father-in-law of the deceased, on the date of incident he was in Mumbai for his livelihood and he worked in a Company, namely, Bebanco Infrastructure Limited Dharavi, Mumbai and in this regard specific averment has been made by him in paragraph-18 of the affidavit filed in support of the instant bail application, therefore, a detailed counter affidavit is called for from the State within two weeks disclosing the fact, whether on the date of incident applicant was in Mumbai or he was present in his home where incident occurred.
3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
4. List this case on 20.4.2026 amongst top ten cases in the category of daily cause list.

(Sameer Jain,J.)

March 18, 2026
SKM