



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 3608 of 2026

Dina Nath Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Arya Gautam, Atipriya Gautam,
Mansoor Alam, Sr. Advocate
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. Contention of the learned counsel for the writ petitioner is that the departmental proceedings cannot be continued against the writ petitioner particularly when the allegations are self same which are subject matter in criminal proceedings. Reliance has been placed upon Regulations 489, 491 and 492 of the U.P. Police Regulations as well as the interim order passed in Writ-A No. 7523 of 2025.
2. Put up this along with records of Writ-A No.7523 of 2025.
3. In the meantime, respondents shall seek instructions/file affidavit till the next date of listing.
4. Until further orders though the departmental inquiry against the writ petitioner shall go on and the writ petitioner shall participate but no final order shall be passed without leave of the Court.

(Prakash Padia,J.)

April 6, 2026

Swati