



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 2627 of 2026

Vijay Pratap Singh And 2 Others

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s)	:	Aushim Luthra, Rajesh Pratap Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 51

HON'BLE ANIL KUMAR-X, J.

1. Learned counsel for appellants and Sri R.K. Singh, learned AGA are present.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the appellant is a primary teacher and has been sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/- for the offence under Section 323/34 IPC. It is further submitted that the impugned judgment, whereby the appellant has been convicted, may have an adverse effect on his future career prospects. Hence, the impugned order of conviction is liable to be stayed.
3. Learned AGA for the State has submitted that the findings recorded by the learned Trial Court do not require any interference. However, he has conceded that the prayer for staying the conviction may be considered by this Court.
4. Admit.
5. Issue notice to the informant through the concerned C.J.M. Learned AGA is also directed to ensure service of notice upon the informant through the concerned Investigating Officer.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly that the appellant is a primary teacher, the operation of the impugned judgment and order dated 05.01.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, Jaunpur, in Special Session Trial No. 37 of 2025, arising out of Case Crime No. C-37 of 2025, under Section 323/34 IPC, insofar as it relates to the conviction of the appellant, shall remain

stayed during the pendency of the appeal.

7. Put up as fresh on 7.4.2026.

March 20, 2026

Mukesh

(Anil Kumar-X,J.)