



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 2347 of 2026**

Jitendra Singh And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Mukesh Chandra Gupta, Shubham
Prakash Gupta

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Mr. Shubham Prakash Gupta, learned counsel for the applicants, Mr. Uma Shanker Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 559 of 2025, under Sections 61(2), 190, 191(1), 191(2), 126, 131, 109(1) of B.N.S., Police Station Jani, District Meerut, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicants that applicants are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the co-accused Vichitra Kumar has already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 12.02.2026 passed in CrI. Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 482 BNSS No.432 of 2026. It is further submitted that the applicants are not named in the FIR and injured has assigned specific role to co-accused person. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned AGA submits that he has not received instructions as yet.

5. Co-accused Vichitra Kumar has already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 12.02.2026 passed in Crl. Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 482 BNSS No.432 of 2026. No specific role has been assigned to the applicants.

6. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

7. In the event of arrest of the applicant- **Jitendra Singh** and **Dheeraj Singh** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till **20.04.2026** on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

8. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

9. List this case on 20.04.2026 as fresh in top twenty cases.

10. Learned AGA is directed to obtain instructions by the next date.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 19, 2026
Virendra