



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - B No. - 1009 of 2026**

Smt. Neelam Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

|                           |                                     |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : Brajesh Shukla, Vipin Kumar       |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : C.S.C., Deepak Gaur, Endoo Kumari |

---

**Court No. - 54**

**HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.**

1. Heard Mr. Brajesh Shukla, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Endoo Kumari, learned Counsel for respondent no.4.

2. Counsel for the petitioner is granted 10 days time to file supplementary affidavit annexing the grounds of appeal No. 128 Computerized case No. 202354064500000844 Smt. Neelam Vs. Ragi and Others. Counsel for the petitioner shall also file documents in respect to plot no. 7403 as well as plot no. 7359/4. Counsel for the petitioner shall also file the grounds of appeal as well as the order passed in appeal against which the revision has been filed by respondent no.4 in which impugned order has been passed.

3. Mr. Hari Mohan Srivastava, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State respondents shall also obtain instruction about the status of plot No. 7359/4 so that it can be demonstrated that what area of the aforementioned plots were recorded as bachat. He shall also obtain instruction as to whether the order dated 27.10.2023 passed by Settlement Officer of Consolidation in chak appeal has been challenged/ modified in any proceeding at the instance of any tenure holder of the Village in question.

4. Put up this matter as fresh on 20.4.2026 at 12:00 P.M.

**(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)**

**March 26, 2026**

Vandana Y.