



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 940 of 2026

Rajaram

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Rishikesh Tripathi, Shashwat Tripathi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Achal Singh, C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel, and perused the record.
2. It is submitted that all the three consolidation courts have illegally discarded the claim of Rajaram (petitioner) over the property in question being son of Bholete @ Durjan, whereas the burden lies upon the contesting respondents to disprove the parentage of the present petitioner under Section 112 of the Evidence Act.
3. The matter requires consideration.
4. Respondents no.1 to 4 are represented through the learned Standing Counsel. Learned Counsel for Gaon Sabha (respondent no.5) is also present. Issue notice to respondents no.6 and 7 fixing **08.05.2026** as the date. In the meantime, parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.
5. It is made clear that transfer, if any, shall be subject to the provisions under Section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act.

March 16, 2026

vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)