



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 9579 of 2026

Urmila Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 11 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Krishna Kumar Chaurasia
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Pradeep Singh, Prem Prakash

Court No. - 36

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that *patta* granted to the petitioner in the year 1983 has been sought to be cancelled on an application moved by respondent no. 5 on 22.01.2018. It has been further contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner moved an application that proceedings are not maintainable in view of Section 198 (6) of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006. The said application has been rejected and the revision filed by the petitioner has also been dismissed.
2. Matter requires consideration.
3. Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 are represented by learned Standing Counsel. Sri Pradeep Singh, learned counsel appears for respondent No. 4.
4. Issue notice to unserved respondents returnable within four weeks. Steps be taken within a week.
5. List on the date fixed in the notice.
6. In the meantime, the parties may exchange their affidavits.
7. Till the next date of listing, further proceedings of Case No. 66 of 2022 shall remain stayed.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

April 6, 2026
Nitika Sri.