



2026:AHC:56323

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 909 of 2026

Raghvendra Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Karunesh Pratap Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for and permitted to amend the name of the Officer concerned and details of the case as mentioned in the prayer clause I of the writ petition, during course of the day
3. Instant writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following relief:-

"Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing/commanding directing the respondent no. 2 Consolidation Officer, Gorakhpur to decide the Case No. 0884 of 2025 (Computer Case No. 202554053135030884), Kailash Nath alias Singheshwari Vs. Ramnath Singh and others, under section 9A(2) of U.P.C.H. Act, 1953, expeditiously."
4. Learned Standing Counsel has no objection, if a direction is issued to decide the aforesaid case, expeditiously.
5. In this conspectus as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case, as raised in the writ petition, with a direction to Respondent no.2 to decide the aforesaid case strictly in accordance with law, by means of a reasoned and speaking order, after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned and without granting any unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **ten months** from the date of production of certified copy of this order.
6. With the aforesaid direction, this petition is finally **disposed of**.

March 19, 2026

vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)