



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 869 of 2026

Paramhans

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Satya Priya Mishra, Shashank Shekhar Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Satya Priya Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ray, learned Standing Counsel for the State respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner and private respondents are original tenure holders of roadside plot no.1668. He submitted that all the parties were adjusted on the roadside plot according to their share but Deputy Director of Consolidation has allotted front portion of the plot no. 1668 in the chak of respondent no.3, which is illegal exercise of jurisdiction. He further placed the map prepared by Deputy Director of Consolidation mentioned at the last page of revisional order in order to demonstrate that petitioner has been shifted in the back side of the road side plot and respondent no.3 has been shifted in front side of the road side plot.

3. Matter requires consideration as to whether the petitioner can be shifted to the back side of road side plot no. 1668 by the Deputy Director of Consolidation.

4. Issue notice to respondent nos. 3 to 9 returnable at an early date.

5. Steps be taken within 10 days.
6. Respondent nos. 3 to 9 shall file counter affidavit within 6 weeks.
7. Rejoinder affidavit can be filed by the petitioner within two weeks thereafter.
8. List this matter on 26.5.2026.
9. Until further orders of this Court, effect and operation of the order dated 27.10.2025 passed by respondent no.2-Deputy Director of Consolidations shall remain stayed.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

March 25, 2026
PS*