



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 8180 of 2026

Rajaram

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Harishchandra Yadav, Mudit Agnihotri
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Sher Bahadur Singh

Court No. - 36

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that in proceedings under Section 67 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, the petitioner challenged the notice as well as the dimensions given by the Lekhpal in its report. It has been further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that Lekhpal never appeared before the court below and the order has been passed on the basis of spot inspection made by respondent- Tehsildar without their being any demarcation. He further submitted that an order cannot be passed on the basis of spot inspection. The objections filed by the petitioner has not been considered and the appeal filed by the petitioner has also been dismissed.

2. Matter requires consideration.

3. Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 are represented by learned Standing Counsel. Sri Sher Bahadur Singh, learned counsel appears for respondent No. 4.

4. Respondents may file counter affidavit within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

5. List thereafter.

6. Till the next date of listing, both the parties are directed to maintain status quo.

March 26, 2026
Nitika Sri.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)