



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 800 of 2026

Veer Singh And 6 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 19 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Brajesh Shukla, Vipin Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. It is submitted that the present petitioners are the descendants of Kandhi Singh, who was recorded in the basic consolidation record. The objection filed by Sitaram (predecessor-in-interest of the contesting respondents) has been rejected by the Consolidation Officer as well as the Settlement Officer of Consolidation. However; the Deputy Director of Consolidation, upon a revision being preferred on behalf of the contesting respondents, reversed the orders passed by the courts below and acknowledged the right and title of Sitaram over the property in question. It is further submitted that Sitaram and Kandhi Singh were the collateral descendants of a common ancestor, Madari; therefore, co-tenancy rights of Kandhi Singh have illegally been discarded by the Deputy Director of Consolidation.

2. Matter requires consideration.

3. Respondents no.1 and 2 are represented through the learned Standing Counsel.

4. Issue notice to respondents no.3 to 20 fixing **11.05.2026** as the date.

5. In the meantime, both the parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.

6. Till the next date of listing, effect and operation of the order

dated 04.12.2025 passed by the Deputy Director of Consolidation shall remain stayed. It is also made clear that during this period, no third party right shall be created over the property in question.

March 17, 2026

VR

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)